UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,252	09/19/2005	Jean-Marie Basset	0512-1264	3629
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 07/06/200 OMPSON	EXAMINER		
209 Madison Street Suite 500 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			SAVAGE, MATTHEW O	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/525,252	BASSET ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew O. Savage	1797
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Jules</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-18 is/are pending in the appending of the above claim(s) 17 is/are withdrawn f 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7, 9-16, and 18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	rom consideration.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te

Art Unit: 1797

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, and 9-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirata et al.

With respect to claims 1 and 7, Hirata et al disclose a method for reducing the content of contaminating metals in ionic form present in aqueous effluents (e.g., palladium wastewater, see line 16 of col. 7), the method including the steps of providing an aqueous effluent including at least a metal in ionic form (e.g., palladium), placing the aqueous effluent in contact with at least a metal (e.g., nickel, see lines 7-10 of col. 7) that is obviously completely or partially coated with hydrogen during the treatment of the metal ion(s) (e.g., when placed in contact with the water containing the metal contaminants as disclosed on lines 16-27 of col. 7); and b) recovering the aqueous effluent (e.g., via the extraction column mentioned on line 18 of col. 7).

As to claims 2-6, Hirata et al disclose nickel (see line 65 of col. 6).

Regarding claims 9-11, Hirata et al disclose gold (see line 16 of col. 2).

Concerning claim 12, Hirata et al disclose nickel (see lines 19-23 of col. 7).

Regarding claim 13, Hirata et al disclose a support (e.g., activated carbon, see lines 38 and 39 of col. 6).

As to claims 14 and 18, Hirata et al disclose a range of 10-70 degrees C which falls within the claimed ranges.

Concerning claim 15, Hirata et al disclose a pH value of 7.9 that falls within applicants claimed range of 1-14.

Regarding claim 16, Hirata et al disclose plating wastewater (see line 17 of col. 2).

Applicant's arguments filed 5-19-09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Hirata et al fail to disclose keeping a hydrogen coating on the metal during treatment of the aqueous effluent, however, it is held that Hirata et al disclose such a step since the metal is in constant contact with water in the aqueous effluent, the water providing the claimed hydrogen coating.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew O. Savage whose telephone number is (571) 272-1146. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/525,252 Page 4

Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Matthew O Savage/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1797

mos